

REMARKS

Claim 29 (identified on page 2 of the Office Action as claim 28) was objected to for use of the term "image portion" rather than "imaging portion". Claim 13 has a similar usage. By the present amendment, all instances of "image portion" in claims 13 and 29 have been changed to "imaging portion".

Claims 1 through 10, 13 through 15, 18 through 23 and 25 through 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Published Application 2003/0063704 to Lang. The Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter in independent claim 29 and dependent claims 30 through 35.

By the present response, the Applicant amends independent claims 1 and 13 to include recitation of a beam filter in a manner that mimics that of allowed claim 29. As such, the Applicants submit that independent claims 1 and 13 (as well as the claims that depend therefrom) are entitled to a finding of allowance in a manner similar to that of claim 29. The Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned to resolve efficiently any formal matters or to discuss any aspects of the application or of this response. Otherwise, notification of allowable subject matter is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
DINSMORE & SHOHL L.L.P.

By /John D. Reed/  
John D. Reed  
Registration No. 46,506

One Dayton Centre  
One South Main Street, Suite 1300  
Dayton, Ohio 45402-2023  
Telephone: (937) 449-6453  
Facsimile: (937) 449-6405